

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/590,942	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PINE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Frantzy Poinvil	<b>Art Unit</b> 3628	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Frantzy Poinvil.

 (2) Ari Pine.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 12 October 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*35 USC 103 rejection*
**Claims discussed:**
*75-105*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*Makivic*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Applicant discussed how the claimed invention distinguishes over Makivic. The Examiner suggests language to overcome Makivic.*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)